

From: mgonzalez@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us
To: Marian McGuire
Subject: RE: Facial recognition access
Date: 2019-06-25 13:26
Attachments: RE: Facial recognition access.html [\[Save\]](#) [\[Open\]](#)
Creation Date: 2019-06-25 13:26
Store Date: 2019-06-26 18:51
Status: read
Box Type: received
Folder: Marian McGuire > LPR
Message Id: 1b3eeaded8686447b288e6dfff2f276c0000000016f4#1b3eeaded8686447b288e6dfff2f276c0003b8b56615

A text version of the message is not available. Please refer to TEXT.htm.

That's fine with me!

From: Marian McGuire
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 1:23 PM
To: Mark Wims <MWims@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us>; Mario Gonzalez <MGonzalez@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us>
Subject: RE: Facial recognition access

Mario, do you concur?

I've asked for Vigilant's list of attendees and I'll double check it next week.

Marian

From: Mark Wims
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 12:26 PM
To: Marian McGuire <mkm McGuire@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us <mailto:mkm McGuire@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us> >; Mario Gonzalez <MGonzalez@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us <mailto:MGonzalez@mail.ci.lubbock.tx.us> >
Subject: RE: Facial recognition access

I think only those who went through the training should have access. It is not user friendly and should it go to court you want them to at least say that went through the class.

My thoughts,

Mark

From: Marian McGuire

Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 10:14 AM

To: Mark Wims; Mario Gonzalez

Subject: RE: Facial recognition access

Now for the next question – do you want all the detectives who have accounts to have access to Facial Recognition also, whether or not they have been through any training? I'm leaving that decision up to the two of you. I'll set up AI based on what you decide.

Marian